wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	DIS	TRICT OF ARIZONA	
UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Roberto Velta-Velta	Case Number: <u>12-02142M-001</u>	
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § ras represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 26, 2012. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	•	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximun	ofyears imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the ma the hearing in this matter, except as n	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe		
2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
-		IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections f	acility separate, to the extent practicab	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court	

of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: November 26, 2012

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge